

# EXHIBIT B

1 ATF-010701; Remington CAL 22 WINCHESTER/001; \$100.00; 11-ATF-010701; 011204; 9 Rds Winchester Western Ammunition CAL.22; \$30.00; 11-ATF-011204; 458 Rds Winchester Western Ammunition CAL.22; \$45.00; 782080-11-0037; 04/20/2011; 18 USC 924(d); Laredo, TX; 11-ATF-011471; Bushmaster Firearms XM15-E2S Rifle CAL.223 SN:L443343; \$700.00; 11-ATF-011472; Beretta USA Corp 92FS Centurion Pistol CAL.9 SN:BERP032602; \$300.00; 782118-11-0028; 04/14/2011; 18 USC 924(d); Weslaco, TX; 11-ATF-011432; 1640 Rds Ass't Ammunition CAL.38; \$184.00; 782118-11-0030; 02/24/2011; 18 USC 924(d); McAllen, TX; 11-ATF-010997; 3 Rds Winchester Western Ammunition CAL.38; \$0.30; 781038-11-0165; 04/14/2011; 18 USC 924(d); Sierra Blanca, TX; 11-ATF-011072; LLAMA (Galbondo & CIA) IXA Pistol CAL.45 SNEA1022; \$100.00; 11-ATF-011077; 5

PTER 53; 28-75...PROPERTIES WERE SEIZED FOR VIOLATION OF TITLE 26 USC CHAPTER 75; 18-44...PROPERTIES WERE

Entered

Pg 2 of 8

2  
0  
1  
1

## BANKRUPTCIES

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,

*et al.*  
Debtors.

Case No. 08-13555 (JMP)

IMPORTANT NOTICE for the holders of securities issued by the following issuers: AIRLIE CDO I, LTD., AIRLIE LCDO I (CAVIL LCDO 2006-3), LTD., AIRLIE LCDO II (Pebble Creek 2007-1), LIMITED, AVIV LCDO 2006-1, LIMITED, AVIV LCDO 2006-2, LIMITED, EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-1, EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-2, LTD., SGS HY CREDIT FUND I (EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-3) LTD., EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-4, LTD., EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-5, LTD., EXUM RIDGE CBO 2007-1, LTD., EXUM RIDGE CBO 2007-2, LTD., PEBBLE CREEK LCDO 2006-1, LIMITED, PEBBLE CREEK LCDO 2007-3, LTD., WHITE MARLIN CDO 2007-1, LTD., AND RACERS 2006-20-AT.

Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc. ("LBSF"), has initiated an alternative dispute resolution proceeding related to the credit derivative transactions that are part of the transactions listed above. This proceeding may affect your rights as a holder of securities. Please contact U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, Attn: Jonathan DeMarco at (617) 603-6552 or [jonathan.demarco@usbank.com](mailto:jonathan.demarco@usbank.com) or Donald Higgins at (617) 603-6717 or [donald.higgins@usbank.com](mailto:donald.higgins@usbank.com) for further information.

U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee

## INTERNATIONAL NOTICES

Terex Industrial Holding AG  
with its registered seat in  
Düsseldorf, Germany

Notice regarding the voluntary public  
purchase offer to the shareholders of

Demag Cranes AG  
with its registered seat in  
Düsseldorf, Germany

In accordance with section 14 para. 3 sentence 1 no. 2 of the German Securities Acquisition and Takeover Act (Wertpapiererwerbs- und Übernahmegesetz (WpÜG))

As of today, the offer document concerning the voluntary takeover offer of Terex Industrial Holding AG with its registered seat in Düsseldorf and its business address at Lindemannstrasse 81, 44137 Dortmund, Germany, to the shareholders of Demag Cranes AG with its registered seat in Düsseldorf (ISIN DE0000CAG010), is available in German and in a non-binding English translation on the internet at <http://www.industrialholding-angebot.de>. In addition, copies of the non-binding English translation of the complete offer document are available for distribution free of charge by contacting Commerzbank AG, ZCM-ECM Execution, Mainzer Landstrasse 153, 60327 Frankfurt am Main, Germany (requests can be made by facsimile at +49 69 136-64598).

Further updates regarding the progress of the offer will be made available periodically in English on the internet at <http://www.industrialholding-angebot.de>.

Düsseldorf, May 19, 2011

Terex Industrial Holding AG

## Businesses For Sale.

Advertise in The Mart:  
Call 1-800-366-3975  
or email [sales.mart@wsj.com](mailto:sales.mart@wsj.com)

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

and through counsel of their own choosing. The notice of objection or appeal must be filed at the Final Hearing unless (i) by June 17, 2011, such shareholder has filed with the Court and delivered to the Settlement Parties a notice of objection and the ground for opposing the Settlement, and (ii) at or before the Final Hearing, such shareholder provides proof of status as a record holder and/or beneficial owner of the common stock of Synthes as of April 19, 2011, and the date of Synthes stock ownership. All such objections must be filed with the Court and delivered to counsel as identified below.

**ROBERT A. HOFFMANN, Esq.**  
**BARRACK, RODOS & BACINE**  
 3333 Two Commerce Square  
 5201 Market Street  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 Counsel for Plaintiff

**JONATHAN L. FRANK, Esq.**  
**LAMB MCGILRAME PC**  
 24 East Market Street  
 P.O. Box 584  
 West Chester, PA 19381  
 Counsel for the Individual Defendants

**AVI B. LAUGHERMAN, Esq.**  
**BLANK ROME LLP**  
 One Logan Square  
 130 North 18th Street  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 Counsel for Nominal Defendant,  
 Synthes, Inc.

Any shareholders who have filed and delivered timely written notices of objection will be entitled to be heard at the Final Hearing unless the Court orders otherwise. Any Current Company Shareholder who fails to object and present proof of ownership of Synthes stock in the manner provided in the preceding paragraph of this Notice shall be deemed to have waived such objection and shall forever be foreclosed from making any objections to the fairness, adequacy, or reasonableness of the Settlement and to the Fee Award to Plaintiff's Counsel, and shall be bound by the Judgment to be entered and the releases to be given.

(1) This notice should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the text of the Stipulation, which has been filed with the Court and is available on the websites of Synthes, [www.synthes.com](http://www.synthes.com), and Plaintiff's Counsel, [www.barrack.com](http://www.barrack.com). All capitalized terms herein have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

#### PLEASE DO NOT TELEPHONE THE COURT CONCERNING THIS NOTICE.

Dated: May 10, 2011

BY ORDER OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, CHESTER COUNTY

## BANKRUPTCIES

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

**LEHMAN BROTHERS HOLDINGS INC., et al.,**

Case No. 08-13555 (JMP)

Debtors.

**IMPORTANT NOTICE** for the holders of securities issued by the following issuers: AIRLIE CDO I, LTD., AIRLIE LCDO I (AVIV LCDO 2006-3), LTD., AIRLIE LCDO II (Pebble Creek 2007-1), LIMITED, AVIV LCDO 2006-1, LIMITED, AVIV LCDO 2006-2, LIMITED, EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-1, EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-2, LTD., SGS HY CREDIT FUND I (EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-3) LTD., EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-4, LTD., EXUM RIDGE CBO 2006-5, LTD., EXUM RIDGE CBO 2007-1, LTD., EXUM RIDGE CBO 2007-2, LTD., PEBBLE CREEK LCDO 2006-1, LIMITED, PEBBLE CREEK LCDO 2007-3, LTD., WHITE MARLIN CDO 2007-1, LTD., AND RACERS 2006-20-AT.

Lehman Brothers Special Financing Inc. ("LBSF"), has initiated an alternative dispute resolution proceeding related to the credit derivative transactions that are part of the transactions listed above. This proceeding may affect your rights as a holder of securities. Please contact U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee, Attn: Jonathan DeMarco at (617) 603-6552 or [jonathan.demarco@usbank.com](mailto:jonathan.demarco@usbank.com) or Donald Higgins at (617) 603-6717 or [donald.higgins@usbank.com](mailto:donald.higgins@usbank.com) for further information.

U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee

Call 1

THE WALL STREET JOUR





## Companies | International

# Bond fund flows signal shift

**CORPORATE FINANCIAL CHANGES**  
Money pours into emerging market debt

Risk fears hit several European countries

By Robin Whittleworth  
in London

MONEY IS continuing to pour into emerging market bond funds, subduing the cost of borrowing in developing countries and underlining the shift in economic power away from the western heavyweights of the

global system. Risk-averse weeks of similar maturity euro-denominated debt of Italy and Spain.

Brazilian and Mexican government bonds are trading more debt at 10%, the equivalent of equivalent Belgian and South Korean sovereign debt issues.

This has reflected the year on emerging market sovereign and corporate debt to \$4.6 per cent on average, up 14 basis points over the year, at a time when developed global yields have edged up according to calculations by Citi, cited for the Financial Times.

Indonesia's fresh 32.5bn rupiah bond, for example, yields 4.7%

per cent, less than similar maturity euro-denominated debt of Italy and Spain.

Brazilian and Mexican government bonds are trad-

ing more debt at 10%, the equivalent of equivalent Belgian and South Korean sovereign debt issues.

The credit default swap index of 15 major emerging market countries has risen by a third to 208, while the iTraxx SovX Index for western European CDS has

climbed to almost 110. "Emerging market debt is no longer a niche," Simon Lue-Ford, head of emerging market debt at Citi, told the FT. "The market is moving its risk premium as the developed world has

"Emerging market debt... is losing its risk premium as the developed world has shot itself in the foot."

Emerging market debt is less levered, and thus more able to repay their loans." Emerging market inflows into emerging market funds, according to IWM, have pushed MSCI's benchmark Emerging Markets Index down 1% since January, while bonds held by governments and companies in developing countries have risen 10%.

Analysts have voted concern over the scale of lending to emerging markets. Citi analysts have attributed the most attention, but some say borrowing costs have been pushed too low given the risks.

market equity funds, according to IWM.

According to Wells, Mr Pandit had accused him of allowing Wells Fargo to dominate Citi.

Mr Pandit soon replaced his finance chief, Ned Kelly, and was promoted to chairman. By April 2008, Mr Pandit faced new questions about his leadership. Concerns were raised that Citi might need another injection of government aid, unless officials at the Federal Reserve and the US Treasury discussed privately who might replace him.

The Citi stock had tumbled almost 50% as part of the government bailout during the financial crisis.

## It's full steam ahead for Citi skipper

**EMERGING MARKETS**

News analysis

It was anything but plain sailing after Vikram Pandit took on the 'Titanic', but on how he has landed a \$22m package, writes Justin Bacher

appointment for Citi, and a rift with regulators whom Mr Pandit had accused of allowing Wells Fargo to dominate Citi.

Mr Pandit soon replaced his finance chief, Ned Kelly, and was promoted to chairman. By April 2008, Mr Pandit faced new questions about his leadership. Concerns were raised that Citi might need another injection of government aid, unless officials at the Federal Reserve and the US Treasury discussed privately who might replace him.

The Citi stock had tumbled almost 50% as part of the government bailout during the financial crisis.

But last week, as Citi seeks to build on its first annual profit since the crisis, the bank's directors rewarded Mr Pandit with a \$22m performance award that will keep him at Citi until 2015.

Mr Pandit's package remains modest compared with those of his peers.

The \$22m package of restricted shares, deferred cash and options, as well as an unvested restricted stock unit from Bank of America that Mr Pandit had earned from the crisis, is considerably less than many of his counterparts.

"By almost any measure, Citi is further along than you could ever imagine," Richard Parsons, Citi's chairman, told the Financial Times. Who could complain about things having gone so far?

But critics question the logic of such a large share plan as set for Mr Pandit.

"This is outrageous," said Mark Taylor, an analyst at CILSA, the brokerage and investment group who rates Citi an "underperformer".

"We have no problem if CEOs that create long-term shareholder value are paid commensurate with those benefits," he added. "The plan does not do this."

Mr Pandit stepped back little long-term compensation, much like what was set before the crisis.

He returned to Citi after his appointment in December 2007, former Morgan Stanley executive, Paul Tamm, who had overseen when it was, as he acknowledged during an address to Wharton Business School, as like becoming captain of the Titanic after it had hit the iceberg.

The bank had been reeling from the crisis when Mr Pandit appeared destined to walk the plank. Within a week, however, he was believed to have reached a deal to acquire Wachovia. Yet as Citi executives began to realize that deal, Wells Fargo emerged with a rival bid that won over the Charlotte-based lender.

The episode was an

unfortunate reminder of

what Mr Pandit had

accused regulators of doing.

But critics question the logic of such a large share plan as set for Mr Pandit.

"This is outrageous," said Mark Taylor, an analyst at CILSA, the brokerage and investment group who rates Citi an "underperformer".

"We have no problem if CEOs that create long-term shareholder value are paid commensurate with those benefits," he added. "The plan does not do this."

Mr Pandit stepped back little long-term compensation, much like what was set before the crisis.

He returned to Citi after his appointment in December 2007, former Morgan Stanley executive, Paul Tamm, who had overseen when it was, as he acknowledged during an address to Wharton Business School, as like becoming captain of the Titanic after it had hit the iceberg.

The bank had been reeling from the crisis when Mr Pandit appeared destined to walk the plank. Within a week, however, he was believed to have reached a deal to acquire Wachovia. Yet as Citi executives began to realize that deal, Wells Fargo emerged with a rival bid that won over the Charlotte-based lender.

The episode was an

unfortunate reminder of

what Mr Pandit had

accused regulators of doing.

But critics question the logic of such a large share plan as set for Mr Pandit.

"This is outrageous," said Mark Taylor, an analyst at CILSA, the brokerage and investment group who rates Citi an "underperformer".

"We have no problem if CEOs that create long-term shareholder value are paid commensurate with those benefits," he added. "The plan does not do this."

Mr Pandit stepped back little long-term compensation, much like what was set before the crisis.

He returned to Citi after his appointment in December 2007, former Morgan Stanley executive, Paul Tamm, who had overseen when it was, as he acknowledged during an address to Wharton Business School, as like becoming captain of the Titanic after it had hit the iceberg.

The bank had been reeling from the crisis when Mr Pandit appeared destined to walk the plank. Within a week, however, he was believed to have reached a deal to acquire Wachovia. Yet as Citi executives began to realize that deal, Wells Fargo emerged with a rival bid that won over the Charlotte-based lender.

The episode was an

unfortunate reminder of

what Mr Pandit had

accused regulators of doing.

But critics question the logic of such a large share plan as set for Mr Pandit.

"This is outrageous," said Mark Taylor, an analyst at CILSA, the brokerage and investment group who rates Citi an "underperformer".

"We have no problem if CEOs that create long-term shareholder value are paid commensurate with those benefits," he added. "The plan does not do this."

Mr Pandit stepped back little long-term compensation, much like what was set before the crisis.

He returned to Citi after his appointment in December 2007, former Morgan Stanley executive, Paul Tamm, who had overseen when it was, as he acknowledged during an address to Wharton Business School, as like becoming captain of the Titanic after it had hit the iceberg.

The bank had been reeling from the crisis when Mr Pandit appeared destined to walk the plank. Within a week, however, he was believed to have reached a deal to acquire Wachovia. Yet as Citi executives began to realize that deal, Wells Fargo emerged with a rival bid that won over the Charlotte-based lender.

The episode was an

unfortunate reminder of

what Mr Pandit had

accused regulators of doing.

But critics question the logic of such a large share plan as set for Mr Pandit.

"This is outrageous," said Mark Taylor, an analyst at CILSA, the brokerage and investment group who rates Citi an "underperformer".

"We have no problem if CEOs that create long-term shareholder value are paid commensurate with those benefits," he added. "The plan does not do this."

Mr Pandit stepped back little long-term compensation, much like what was set before the crisis.

He returned to Citi after his appointment in December 2007, former Morgan Stanley executive, Paul Tamm, who had overseen when it was, as he acknowledged during an address to Wharton Business School, as like becoming captain of the Titanic after it had hit the iceberg.

The bank had been reeling from the crisis when Mr Pandit appeared destined to walk the plank. Within a week, however, he was believed to have reached a deal to acquire Wachovia. Yet as Citi executives began to realize that deal, Wells Fargo emerged with a rival bid that won over the Charlotte-based lender.

The episode was an

## Japanese companies seek to take a bite of foreign growth

**News analysis**  
Moves prompted by weak domestic economy, strong yen and low interest rates, says Lindsay Whipple

In the world of mergers and acquisitions, Japan has rarely been a thriving example of bold moves.

But last week's combined \$10.5bn deal between Toyota and Yamaha Motor, by Toyota and Yamaha raises the prospect of Japanese companies taking a bite out of foreign markets.

Domestic conditions continue to be a problem for Japan, which is also weighed down by huge government debts and a falling yen.

Yasuyuki Hisagawa, chief executive of Toyota, Japan's largest drugmaker and one of the world's most active companies when it comes to overseas acquisitions, says looking beyond Japan is the only way forward. "The market in Japan makes sense given the outlook for the domestic economy," he says.

"The alternative is to go outside to get part of the growth pie," says Mr Hisagawa. "We must consider the alternative we are in, maybe many chief executives are thinking the same."

The prospect of slow domestic growth is nothing new for Japanese managers who have been dealing with

receding prices for most of the past decade. But the outbound acquisition numbers, which this year have reached a record level of 1,000, according to Dealogic, suggest Japanese companies are beginning to seek more deals outside.

Takeda and Toshiba initiated talks with their respective targets - Mylan and Johnson & Johnson - before the disaster, suggesting that for many companies the tragedy has not provided much motivation to abandon or postpone long-term overseas expansion plans.

Observers say there is a real need for Japanese companies to diversify into the rest of the world. Increasing and diversifying their operations abroad will help them spread and diversify their manufacturing base and market reach.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

Observers say there is a real need for Japanese companies to diversify into the rest of the world. Increasing and diversifying their operations abroad will help them spread and diversify their manufacturing base and market reach.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still room for large deals to overshadow a plethora of smaller ones. So far this year, there have been 10 deals worth over \$100m, including Takeda and Toshiba, and 223 deals worth over \$10m, according to Dealogic.

Some bankers argue that this is partly because so many companies are already fragmented, reducing profitability that could have been channelled into further acquisitions over time.

For many people are feeling that volatility in the world is increasing and that the need to diversify overseas is greater than ever. In fact, some analysts believe that they will necessarily win the deals. Japanese management face stiffer competition from other foreign buyers with well-established funds, aggressive capital structures, including government-backed funds, for a smaller pool of available targets, due to an M&A boom earlier in the past decade.

"Japanese companies may have missed the window of opportunity for assets," says Edward Cole, a lawyer at Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer in Tokyo. "I believe we may see Japanese companies trying to buy up private equity firms to buy some return on investment," he says.

While last week's deals represented a marked increase in size on the usual deals involving Japanese companies, there is still



